

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION  
OPIATE LITIGATION**

Case No. 1:17-MD-2804

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
*Track One-B Trial*

**SUMMARY SHEET FOR PHARMACY DEFENDANTS' MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 2  
TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE, ARGUMENT OR COMMENTS REGARDING THE  
ABSENCE, PRESENCE OR IDENTITY OF DEFENDANT'S CORPORATE  
REPRESENTATIVES AT TRIAL**

- The Court should exclude as irrelevant any evidence, argument or comments regarding the absence, presence or identity of the Pharmacy Defendants' corporate representatives at trial, which is a slight modification to its prior grant of the Track 1-A Defendants' MIL No. 14 precluding Plaintiffs from commenting about the *absence* of a corporate representative at trial. *See* Dkt. 3058 at 67-68. In granting that prior MIL, the Court cited caselaw that holds that "the presence, absence or identity of defendant's corporate representative is wholly irrelevant to plaintiffs' claims." *Id.*